## Note

# THE HEATING RATE AS A VARIABLE IN NON-ISOTHERMAL KINETICS. A NEW METHOD TO EVALUATE THE NON-ISOTHERMAL KINETIC PARAMETERS

## E. URBANOVICI and E. SEGAL

Chair of Physical Chemistry and Electrochemical Technology, Faculty of Chemical Engineering, Polytechnical Institute of Bucharest, Bulevardul Republicii 13, Bucharest (Romania)

(Received 7 May 1985)

Starting from the fundamental rate equation of non-isothermal kinetics [1,2]

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\alpha}{\mathrm{d}T} = \frac{A}{\beta} f(\alpha) \,\mathrm{e}^{-E/RT} \tag{1}$$

for constant values of A and E, through variable separation and integration, one obtains

$$\int_0^{\alpha} \frac{\mathrm{d}\alpha}{f(\alpha)} = \frac{A}{\beta} \int_0^T e^{-E/RT}$$
(2)

Considering several constant values of the conversion degree,  $\alpha_i$  (i = 1, 2, ..., m), and introducing the notation

$$Z_{\alpha_i} = \int_0^{\alpha_i} \frac{\mathrm{d}\alpha}{f(\alpha)} \tag{3}$$

where  $Z_{\alpha_i}$  depends on the particular form of  $f(\alpha)$ , from eqn. (2) for  $\alpha_i = ct$ , one obtains

$$Z_{\alpha_{i}}\beta = A \int_{0}^{T_{\alpha_{i}}(\beta)} e^{-E/RT} dT$$
(4)

where  $T_{\alpha_i}(\beta)$  means that the temperature corresponding to  $\alpha_i = ct$  depends on the heating rate,  $\beta$ . From eqn. (4), taking the derivative with respect to  $\beta$ , it turns out that

$$Z_{\alpha_{i}} = A \, \mathrm{e}^{-E/RT_{\alpha_{i}}(\beta)} \frac{\mathrm{d}T_{\alpha_{i}}(\beta)}{\mathrm{d}\beta} \tag{5}$$

or

$$\log \frac{\mathrm{d}T_{\alpha_i}(\beta)}{\mathrm{d}\beta} = \log \frac{Z_{\alpha_i}}{A} + \frac{E}{2.303R} \frac{1}{T_{\alpha_i}(\beta)} \tag{6}$$

Thus, from a plot of  $\log[dT_{\alpha_i}(\beta)/d\beta]$  values against  $1/T_{\alpha_i}(\beta)$  values one can evaluate  $E_i$  and  $(Z_{\alpha_i}/A)$  both corresponding to  $\alpha_i$ .

0040-6031/85/\$03.30 © 1985 Elsevier Science Publishers B.V.

In order to determine the form of  $f(\alpha)$ , one has to calculate an average value of the activation energy,  $\overline{E}$ .

$$\overline{E} = \frac{E_1 + E_2 + \ldots + E_m}{m} \tag{7}$$

This is associated with the value,  $\overline{A}$ , of the pre-exponential factor. Using the  $\overline{E}$  value one can calculate

$$\frac{Z_{\alpha_i}}{\overline{A}} = R_{\alpha_i} = \frac{e^{-\overline{E}/RT_{\alpha i}(\beta_1)} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}T_{\alpha_i}(\beta)}{\mathrm{d}\beta}\right)_{\beta_1} + \ldots + e^{-\overline{E}/RT_{\alpha i}(\beta_k)} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}T_{\alpha_i}(\beta)}{\mathrm{d}\beta}\right)_{\beta_k}}{k} \quad (8)$$
  
(*i* = 1, 2, 3, ..., *m*)

where k is the number of points for which  $T_{\alpha_i}(\beta)$  and  $dT_{\alpha_i}(\beta)/d\beta$  are known. The function  $f(\alpha)$  can be found from the relationship

$$\frac{Z_{\alpha_1} + Z_{\alpha_2} + \dots + Z_{\alpha_j}}{Z_{\alpha_{j+1}} + Z_{\alpha_{j+2}} + \dots + Z_{\alpha_m}} = \frac{R_{\alpha_1} + R_{\alpha_2} + \dots + R_{\alpha_j}}{R_{\alpha_{j+1}} + R_{\alpha_{j+2}} + \dots + R_{\alpha_m}}$$
(9)

Once  $f(\alpha)$  is known, the pre-exponential factor can be evaluated from the relationship

$$A_{i}(\beta_{k}) = Z_{\alpha_{i}} e^{E_{i}/RT_{\alpha_{i}}(\beta_{k})} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}T_{\alpha_{i}}(\beta)}{\mathrm{d}\beta}\right)_{\beta_{k}}} (k = 1, 2)$$
(10)

$$\log A_i = \frac{\log A_{\alpha_i}(\beta_1) + \ldots + \log A_{\alpha_i}(\beta_k)}{k}$$
(11)

From relationship (5) for two heating rates,  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  ( $\beta_2 > \beta_1$ ), one obtains

$$E = R \frac{T_{\alpha_{i}}(\beta_{1})T_{\alpha_{i}}(\beta_{2})}{T_{\alpha_{i}}(\beta_{2}) - T_{\alpha_{i}}(\beta_{1})} \ln \frac{\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}T_{\alpha_{i}}(\beta)}{\mathrm{d}\beta}\right)_{\beta_{1}}}{\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}T_{\alpha_{i}}(\beta)}{\mathrm{d}\beta}\right)_{\beta_{2}}}$$
(12)

In order to obtain  $dT_{\alpha_i}(\beta)/d\beta$  one can use numerical derivation or, if one knows several pairs of values  $[T_{\alpha_i}(\beta), \beta]$ , an interpolation polynomial can be written

$$T_{\alpha_{i}}(\beta) = a_{0} + a_{1}\beta + a_{2}\beta^{2} + \dots$$
(13)

The method was applied for the dehydration of  $CaC_2O_4 \cdot H_2O$ . The experimental data and the results are given in Tables 1 and 2.

As seen from Table 1, three values of the heating rate were used. Under such conditions

$$T_{\alpha_{i}}(\beta) = a_{0} + a_{1}\beta + a_{2}\beta^{2}$$
(14)

TABLE 1

No.	α,	$T_{\alpha_i}(\beta_1) (\mathbf{K})$ ( $\beta_1 = 1 \text{ K min}^{-1}$ )	$T_{\alpha_i}(\beta_2) (\mathbf{K})$ ( $\beta_2 = 5 \text{ K min}^{-1}$ )	$T_{\alpha_i}(\beta_3)$ (K) ( $\beta_3 = 10$ K min <sup>-1</sup> )
1	0.1667	416.8	431.5	437.9
2	0.3333	424.2	442.0	449.6
3	0.5000	429.2	449.2	458.0
4	0.6667	433.2	456.0	465.0
5	0.8333	437.3	462.2	472.0

Experimental data for the dehydration of  $CaC_2O_4 \cdot H_2O(s)$ 

The coefficients  $a_0$ ,  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  can be determined from the system

$$T_{\alpha_{\ell}}(\beta_{k}) = a_{0} + a_{1}\beta_{k} + a_{2}\beta_{k}^{2} \ (k = 1, 2, 3)$$
(15)

Once  $a_0$ ,  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are known, one can easily obtain  $T_{\alpha_i}(\beta)$  and  $dT_{\alpha_i}(\beta)/d\beta$  for every value of  $\beta$  in the interval  $\beta \in [\beta_1, \beta_3]$ . The following values were considered

$$\beta_a = \frac{\beta_1 + \beta_2}{2} = 3 \text{ K min}^{-1}$$
(16)

$$\beta_b = \frac{\beta_2 + \beta_3}{2} = 7.5 \text{ K min}^{-1}$$
(17)

The values of E were determined by using formula (12). To get the function  $f(\alpha) = (1 - \alpha)^m$  by help of relationship (9), the  $\overline{E}$  value was calculated according to the formula

$$\overline{E} = \frac{E_2 + E_3 + E_4 + E_5}{4} \tag{18}$$

the value  $E_1$  ( $\alpha_1 = 0.1667$ ) being excluded as it does not belong to the linear portion of the TG decomposition curve. The obtained value is

$$\overline{E} = 28.2 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$$

The value of the reaction order was obtained from the solution of the equation

$$\frac{2 - 0.6667^{(1-\bar{n})} - 0.5000^{(1-\bar{n})}}{2 - 0.3333^{(1-\bar{n})} - 0.1667^{(1-\bar{n})}} = 0.3402$$
<sup>(19)</sup>

which led to a value of  $\bar{n} = 1.22$ . Using this value one can calculate  $A_{\alpha_i}$  through relationships (10) and (11).

The results are given in Table 2. For comparison, E was also calculated using Ozawa's method [3].

From Table 2 one obtains:  $\overline{A} = 2.55 \times 10^{11} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (for i = 2, 3, 4, 5); and  $E_{\text{Ozawa}} = 29.4 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$  (for i = 2, 3, 4, 5). The two methods give values in rather good agreement with each other.

o.	ά,	a <sub>0</sub> (K)	$a_1(\min)$	$a_2(\min^2)$	$T_{a_{\alpha}}(\beta_{a})^{a}(\mathbf{K})$	$t_{a}(\beta_{b})^{a}(K)$	[ q <i>T</i> , ( <i>B</i> ) ]	[ q <i>T</i> <sub>2</sub> ( <i>B</i> ) ]	$E_{\prime}(kcal$	A, (s <sup>-1</sup> )	$E_{\text{Ozawa}}$ (kc
				K <sup>-1</sup> )	-		$\left[\frac{d_{\beta}(x,y)}{d\beta}\right]_{\beta}$	$\int_{a}^{a} \left[ \frac{\frac{a_{i}}{d\beta}}{d\beta} \right]_{\beta_{h}}$ (kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> )	mol <sup>-1</sup> )		mol <sup>-1</sup> )
	0.1667	411.798	5.270	-0.266	425.214	436.361	3.674	1.280	34.876	$7.13 \times 10^{14}$	37.836
	0.3333	418.121	6.404	-0.326	434.403	447.835	4.450	1.520	30.918	$5.72 \times 10^{12}$	32.983
	0.5000	422.400	7.160	- 0.360	440.640	455.880	5.000	1.760	27.400	$9.73 \times 10^{10}$	29.877
	0.6667	425.333	8.300	-0.433	446.333	463.210	5.700	1.800	28.058	$2.00 \times 10^{11}$	28.255
	0.8333	428.705	90.6	- 0.474	451.646	470.062	6.225	1.960	26.471	$3.80 \times 10^{10}$	26.574

-

**TABLE 2** 

#### CONCLUSIONS

(1) A method to evaluate non-isothermal kinetic parameters using several heating rates was developed.

(2) The values of the activation energy for the dehydration of calcium oxalate are in quite good agreement which those obtained using Ozawa's method.

#### REFERENCES

- 1 E. Segal and D. Fåtu, Introduction to Non-isothermal Kinetics, Publishing House of the Academy of the Socialist Republic of Romania, Bucharest, 1983, p. 70 (in Romanian).
- 2 J. Šesták, Thermophysical Properties of Solids, Academia, Prague, 1984, p. 218.
- 3 T. Ozawa, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 38 (1965) 1881.